

**South Carolina Division
Project of Division Interest
Stewardship & Oversight Plan**

Federal Project Number: _____

State Number: _____

Estimated Cost: \$13M

Project Description
<p>I-85 / SC 290 interchange improvements. (Duncan/Main St.) (MM 63) reconfigure existing diamond to diverging diamond</p>

Phase/activities	Selected for Involvement	Scope of Oversight (Inspection, Program Review, CAP Review, etc.)
Preconstruction (Design)		
Design Standards (i.e. non-STA)	✓	review + concur in design stds.
Design Exceptions		
Bridge/Structures design		
Consultant Management and Use of Consultants		
Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS)		
Environmental Mitigation and Commitments	✓	Attend public meetings review and approve NEPA
ITS Project development -Standards & Systems Engineering		
Interstate Access Requests/Interstate Justification Report	✓	review and approve IMR
Pavement design		
Reviews:		
• Constructability		
• Design	✓	review preliminary plans
○ Initial Field		
○ Intermediate		
○ Semi-final		
○ Final	✓	review for consistency with IMR
Road Safety Audits		

Phase/activities	Selected for Involvement	Scope of Oversight (Inspection, Program Review, CAP Review, etc.)
Roadside Hardware		
Traffic Analysis	✓	review for IMR
Traffic Control Plan / Work Zone Traffic Mgt		
Value Engineering (VE)		
Financial plans		
ROW encroachments		
Experimental Features		
Preconstruction (PS&E Approval, Advertising, & Award)		
Plans, Specifications, and Estimates (PS&E)	✓	review + approve
Plans	✓	review + approve
Specifications	✓	review + approve
Standard Specifications	✓	review + approve
Supplemental Specifications	✓	review + approve
Special Provisions	✓	review + approve
Contract Provisions (FHWA1273, Buy America(n), Changed conditions clauses, Incentive/Disincentives, Partnering, Warranties)	✓	Review + approve
Engineers Estimate	✓	review + approve
Project Authorization and Project Agreements	✓	review + approve
ROW Clearances		
Pre-qualification		
Authorize advertising for bids, addenda	✓	review + approve
Conditional approvals		
Pre-bid meetings		
Bid analysis and concurrence in award	✓	review + approve
Innovative contracting		
State contracting procedures		
Utility agreements		
Railroad agreements		

Phase/activities	Selected for Involvement	Scope of Oversight (Inspection, Program Review, CAP Review, etc.)
Project Construction & Contract Administration		
Preconstruction Meeting / Partnering workshop		
Inspections		
• Initial		
• Intermediate (frequency: _____)		
• In-Depth		
• Final		
• Special Reports		
• Process Reviews		
• Work Zone Review		
Project Meetings		
Materials testing / certifications		
Change orders		
Contractor claims		
Pay Estimate Reviews		
Time extensions		
Value Engineering change proposals		
Liquidated damages		
DBE		
OJT		
Final Acceptance		
Other:		

SCDOT
OVERSIGHT

Proposed Candlyn P. Fisher
FHWA Engineer/Specialist

Date 9/23/2013

Approved [Signature]
FHWA Supervisor/Team Leader

Date 9/23/2013

100
100

100
100

FHWA Risk-Based Oversight

South Carolina Division

PoDI Identification Criteria

Federal Project Number: _____

State Number: _____

Estimated Cost: \$13M

Project Description
<p>1-85/SC 290 interchange (Duncan/Moore Main St.) MM 63) reconfigure to diverging diamond</p>

Project Selection Criteria Use criteria to determine potential risk-based involvement Note: Check mark the areas of potential risk-based activity for informing your decision for risk-based project involvement.	Potential for Risk-Based Activity
<p>Significant Project :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identified as a PoCI..... • Project size (relative to typical State/Division projects)..... • Project on the NHS..... • High Volume / High Freight..... • Regional importance..... • Directly supports one or more USDOT/FHWA Initiatives (e.g. TIGER)..... • Multimodal..... • Unique safety features or implications..... 	<p>✓</p>
<p>Complex Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New design features..... • Access Control Issues..... • ROW impacts (major relocations)..... • Innovative Construction methods..... • Innovative Contracting Techniques..... • Traffic impacts..... • Difficult location (constructability issues)..... • State Capacity..... 	<p>✓ ✓</p>

<p>Complex Tunnel or Bridge Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New design features..... • Difficult locations for construction (over water ways, urban setting, etc.)..... • Traffic impacts..... • State experience in design or construction of bridge type..... • State experience in design or construction of tunnels..... 	
<p>Environmental/Legal Considerations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Class (EIS/EA/CE)..... • Natural Resources..... • Cultural Resources..... • Human impacts..... • Public Controversy..... • Law suits (pending or anticipated)..... 	✓
<p>LPA Administered Projects</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LPA capacity to manage project..... • LPA capacity to design project..... • LPA capacity to construct project..... • LPA experience with Federal-aid projects..... • State role/history of oversight of LPA projects..... 	
<p>Political/Public Interest</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State (Governor, DOT, other stake holders)..... • Congressional delegation..... • Other Federal agencies..... • Local governments/MPOs..... • Other stake holders..... 	

Notes

This project was proposed in the I-95 corridor study. It is currently in the draft STIP to be approved if the SIB votes to concur in SCDOT's priority list.

There is not a determination regarding project delivery as of the date listed below. Based on that, this signed material is subject to change.

FHWA Representative:

Candace P. Fisher

Date: 9/23/2013